

fisherphillips.com

February 12, 2018

#### Houston

910 Louisiana Street Suite 4000 Houston, TX 77002

(713) 292-0150 Tel (713) 292-0151 Fax

Writer's Direct Dial: 713-292-5622

Writer's E-mail: pwilliams@fisherphillips.com

#### Via Facsimile (972) 850-3101 and email bernstein.josh@dol.gov

Mr. Josh Bernstein, Trial Attorney UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OFFICE OF THE SOLICITOR 525 S. Griffin Street, Suite 501 Dallas, Texas 75202

Re: T&T Offshore, Inc.

Mr. Bernstein:

As you know I am now the primary contact for the above referenced inspection. Please accept this as T&T Offshore, Inc.'s initial response to your most recent communications. As a preliminary matter, we reiterate that the purported subpoena you contacted our office about was never served on T&T Offshore, Inc. As I am sure you will appreciate, if we hand you (as counsel for OSHA) a subpoena, petition or complaint during a future meeting or hearing, I am sure you would not agree that such constitutes proper service of that document on OSHA and/or the United States Department of Labor. In the event your office or OSHA disagrees, and is now willing to assert that it is an agent of service, please advise of that position.

Notwithstanding the improper and/or lack service noted above, T&T Offshore, Inc. has voluntarily produced (and continues to voluntarily produce) documents during the course of the above inspection. Furthermore, the issue raised in your recent communications – regarding redactions contained within documents produced – is different than the issue presented by Mr. Briggs in his most recent correspondence. Indeed, this is the first time OSHA, or anyone on its behalf, has raised a concern about redactions within the documents previously produced. With that said, we understand that there are only two documents where redactions are at issue. The first relates to a document entitled "Meeting Minutes" dated June 16, 2017. That document is being produced in un-redacted form, subject to and without waiving any previously asserted objections and/or assertions of privilege. The second (and final) issue raised relates to the redactions of names on a document (which T&T Offshore, Inc. was under no obligation to create or produce) that lists current employees of T&T Offshore, Inc. (regardless of whether they were actually on site at the time of incident or involved in the work). While T&T Offshore, Inc. is *not* refusing to provide an un-redacted copy of that document at this time, we do request clarification from OSHA as to: (1)

Josh Bernstein February 12, 2018 Page 2

what exactly OSHA is seeking from T&T Offshore, Inc.; (2) why the information is needed; and (3) how the request is reasonable and relevant to the inspection. Specifically, at the time of the incident - and as OSHA already knows - the only T&T Offshore, Inc. employee involved in the work at issue was the crane operator (Mr. Tinney). OSHA has long been in possession of the crane operator's full name, address, phone number, etc. Likewise, T&T Offshore, Inc. previously presented Mr. Robbie Dison and Mr. Phil Leasure for interviews at OSHA's Houston South Area Office. Furthermore, it is also our understanding that OSHA has interviewed the other individuals - who were not T&T Offshore, Inc. employees - that were part of the work. The fact of who was actually involved in the work can be confirmed via the video(s) that is/are in OSHA's current possession. It should be very clear to OSHA who was involved in the work and accident, and the fact that it is requesting information about persons who were not involved in the work and accident. Finally, it remains perplexing as to why OSHA affirmatively stated that the information it was seeking was needed simply to identify how many people were working at the Pelican Island facility, but is now unsatisfied with the information provided (i.e., we provided information that would allow OSHA to ascertain this number). As such, we are now asking for clarification and confirmation in writing as to what OSHA is seeking and the basis for the request.

In light of the above, we are concerned that OSHA is simply seeking information about persons who were unrelated and unassociated with the work as a fishing expedition and in an effort to harass and intimidate T&T Offshore, Inc. and its employees, all of which are unreasonable. However, in a good-faith effort to confer and resolve this dispute, T&T Offshore, Inc. will reevaluate its position – and advise your office the same – within three (3) business days of OSHA clarifying what it is seeking from T&T Offshore, Inc., why that information is needed, and how the request is reasonable and relevant.

Best regards,

Pamela D. Williams

PDW/jm Attachment

cc: Edwin G. Foulke, Jr. interoffice

### Case 3:18-cv-00073 Document 2-1 Filed on 03/13/18 in TXSD Page 3 of 3

# T & T OFFSHORE, INC. CREW BOATS MEETING MINUTES

## ATTITUDE IS EVERYTHING!

FOCUS - TAKETIME - TAKE RESPONSIBILITY - DO THE RIGHT THING - KNOW THE RISKS
Safety Fire Drill ER Drill Security Drill QI/Spill Drill
DATE: Jun 16 17 FACILITATOR: PAUL Stepien
TOPIC: Working around cranes
DISCUSSION:
Working Around CYANES
rexperience can be the worst teacher
O STRY OUT From under
3 your pot safe when not seen
@ other Danser
6 Use Extra CARC Around Cranes
ATTENDEES:
(PRINT NAME) (SIGNATURE)
PAUL RStepien Internation
PEDRO MEDERO JR. Pelas
Jef Tinn
LESSONS LEARNED/IMPROVEMENTS:
O beware of the Angle of the DAN or Block When
working cargo on the back beck should be watening
Both 50 YOU KNOW Where the 19ft is soins !
@stry out of Pinen Points.
BWOrk together.
@ communication on the Dock And BACK Deck
white loading and offloading curgo